arXiv:1407.7949v1 [math.CA] 30 Jul 2014

The embedding flows of C^∞ hyperbolic diffeomorphisms

Xiang Zhang*

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiaotong University,

Shanghai 200240, The People's Republic of China.

E-mail: xzhang@sjtu.edu.cn

Abstract

In [*American J. Mathematics*, 124(2002), 107–127] we proved that for a germ of C^∞ hyperbolic diffeomorphisms $F(x) = Ax+f(x)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, if A has a real logarithm with its eigenvalues weakly nonresonant, then $F(x)$ can be embedded in a C^∞ autonomous differential system. Its proof was very complicated, which involved the existence of embedding periodic vector field of $F(x)$ and the extension of the Floquet's theory to nonlinear C^∞ periodic differential systems. In this paper we shall provide a simple and direct proof to this last result.

Next we shall show that the weakly nonresonant condition in the last result on the real logarithm of A is necessary for some C^∞ diffeomorphisms $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ to have C^∞ embedding flows.

Finally we shall prove that a germ of C^∞ hyperbolic diffeomorphisms $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ with $f(x) = O(|x|^2)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^2, 0)$ has a C^∞ embedding flow if and only if either A has no negative eigenvalues or A has two equal negative eigenvalues and it can be diagonalizable.

Key words and phrases: Local diffeomorphism, embedding flow, hyperbolicity, normal form.

2000 AMS mathematical subject classification: 34A34, 34C20, 34C41, 37G05.

*The author is partially supported by NNSF of China grants 10671123 and 10831003, and the Shanghai Pujiang Program 09PJD013.

1 Introduction and statement of the main results

For a germ of C^k smooth diffeomorphims in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ with $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty, \omega\}$, a vector field $\mathcal{X}$ defined in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ is an *embedding vector field* of $F(x)$ if $F(x)$ is the time one map of the flow induced by $\mathcal{X}$. The flow of $\mathcal{X}$ is called an *embedding flow* of $F(x)$. For a vector field $\mathcal{Y}$ depending on the time (if it is periodic in the time, we assume that its period is 1), if $F(x)$ is the time one map of its solutions, then $\mathcal{Y}$ is also called an *embedding vector field* of $F(x)$.

The embedding flow problem is classical, which asks to solve the existence and smoothness of embedding flows for a given diffeomorphism with some smoothness. We should say that the embedding flow problem appears naturally when we study the relation between diffeomorphisms and vector fields. Recently the results on the existence of embedding flows were successfully applied to study the integrability, especially the inverse integrating factor, of planar differential systems (see e.g. [9, 10, 11]).

For 1–dimensional diffeomorphisms the embedding flow problem has been intensively studied (see for instance, [3, 4, 13, 14, 15]). For higher dimensional diffeomorphisms, Palis [17] in 1974 pointed out that the diffeomorphisms admitting embedding flows are few in the Baire sense.

In 1988 Arnold [2, p.200] mentioned that any C^∞ local diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + o(|x|)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ with A having a real logarithm admits a C^∞ periodic embedding vector field in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$. In 1992 Kuksin and Pöschel [12] solved the problem on embedding a C^∞ smooth or an analytic symplectic diffeomorphism into a C^∞ smooth or an analytic periodic Hamiltonian vector field in a neighborhood of the origin.

The last two results proved the existence of periodic embedding vector fields for a given diffeomorphism. Arnold [2, p.200] mentioned that it is usually not possible to embed a given mapping in the phase flow of an autonomous system. This implies that the embedding flow problem is much more involved.

In the past decade there are some progresses on the embedding flow problem. For recalling these results we need some definitions.

For a local diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, the eigenvalues $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ of A is *resonant* if there exists some $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|k| \geq 2$ such that $\lambda_j = \lambda^k$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_+$ denotes the set of nonnegative integers, and as usual $|k| = k_1 + \ldots + k_n$ and $\lambda^k = \lambda_1^{k_1} \ldots \lambda_n^{k_n}$.

For a vector field $\mathcal{X}(x) = Bx + v(x)$, the eigenvalues $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ of B is

- *resonant* if there exists some $k = (k_1, \ldots, k_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|k| \geq 2$ such that $\mu_j = \langle k, \mu \rangle$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, where $\langle k, \mu \rangle = k_1\mu_1 + \ldots + k_n\mu_n$;
- *weakly resonant* if there exists some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|k| \geq 2$ such that $\mu_j - \langle k, \mu \rangle = 2l\pi\sqrt{-1}$ for some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

Now we recall some recent results on the embedding flow problem. In 2002 Li, Llibre and Zhang [16] solved the embedding flow problem for a class of C^∞ hyperbolic diffeomorphisms, stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *For any C^∞ locally hyperbolic diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ with $f(x) = O(|x|^2)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, if A has a real logarithm B with its eigenvalues weakly nonresonant, then the diffeomorphism $F(x)$ admits a C^∞ embedding flow induced by a C^∞ vector field of the form $Bx + v(x)$ with $v(x) = O(|x|^2)$.*

This last result was recently extended to the C^∞ local diffeomorphisms in the Banach spaces [19] by using the spectral theory of linear operators and using some ideas from [16]. In 2008 we solved the embedding flow problem for the volume–preserving analytically integrable diffeomorphisms [18]. For the finite smooth diffeomorphisms some partial results on this problem were recently obtained in [20].

In [16], for proving Theorem 1.1 we first proved that the given diffeomorphism $F(x)$ can be embedded in a C^∞ periodic vector field (in fact, it is a result mentioned by Arnold in [2] without a proof), then we proved that the periodic vector field is C^∞ equivalent to an autonomous vector field by using the extended Floquet's theory for nonlinear periodic differential vector field, which is also proved in [16]. The proof was very complicated and involved. In this paper we shall provide a simple and direct proof to Theorem 1.1, i.e. without using the embedding periodic vector fields and so it is not necessary to use the extended Floquet's theory, see Subsection 2.2.

In Theorem 1.1 there is an additional condition: weakly nonresonance on the eigenvalues of the real logarithm B of A. This condition was used in [16] for reducing a C^∞ nonlinear periodic differential system to an autonomous one. For a long time we do not know if this condition is necessary or not for the existence of the C^∞ embedding flows of a C^∞ hyperbolic diffeomorphism. Our next result shows that this condition is necessary for some C^∞ (resp. analytic) diffeomorphism to have a C^∞ (resp. an analytic) embedding flow.

Theorem 1.2. *For $n \geq 3$, there exist locally C^∞ (resp. analytic) diffeomorphisms of the form $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ which have no C^∞ (resp. analytic) embedding autonomous vector fields, where $f(x) = O(|x|^2)$ and A has a real logarithm with its eigenvalues weakly resonant.*

The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Subsection 2.3. At the end of Subsection 2.2 we shall explain the importance of weakly nonresonance to the existence of embedding flows.

Let B be a real logarithm of A and let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ be the n–tuples of eigenvalues of A and B, respectively. Then $\lambda_j = e^{\mu_j}$ (by a permutation if necessary) for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Associated with Theorem 1.2 and its proof, we pose the following

Conjecture. *If $f(x) = O(|x|^2)$ is C^∞ (resp. analytic) and its j^{th} component has a resonant monomial $x^m e_j$ with m satisfying $\mu_j - \langle m, \mu\rangle = 2k\pi\sqrt{-1}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then the locally hyperbolic diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ has no C^∞ (resp. analytic) embedding flows.*

Recall that e_j is the j^{th} unit vector with its j^{th} entry being equal to 1 and the others all vanishing.

Using Theorem 1.1 and the following Proposition 2.7 we can get the following

Theorem 1.3. *For a locally hyperbolic C^∞ diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ with $f(x) = O(|x|^2)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, the following statements hold.*

(*a*) *For $n = 2$, $F(x)$ has a C^∞ embedding flow if and only if either A has no negative eigenvalues, or A has the Jordan normal form $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda, \lambda)$ with $\lambda < 0$.*

(*b*) *For $n \geq 3$, if A has eigenvalues all positive, then the diffeomorphism $F(x)$ admits a C^∞ embedding flow induced by the vector field of the form $Bx + v(x)$ with $v(x) = O(|x|^2)$.*

We note that statement (a) solves completely the embedding flow problem for the planar locally hyperbolic C^∞ diffeomorphisms.

Statement (b) is in fact Corollary 5 of [16] without a proof there. We list and prove it here for completeness. Contrary to statement (b), if A has negative or conjugate complex eigenvalues, there are hyperbolic C^∞ diffeomorphisms of the form $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ which have no C^∞ embedding flows. For example, the local diffeomorphims in $(\mathbb{R}^3, 0)$

$$F_1(x, y, z) = (-2x, -2y, 4z + axy + bx^2 + cy^2),$$

with its linear part having a real logarithm

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \ln 2 & \pi & 0 \\ -\pi & \ln 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2\ln 2 \end{pmatrix},$$

have neither C^∞ nor analytic embedding autonomous vector field provided that $a^2+b^2+c^2\neq 0$ and $b\neq c$. Its proof follows from the same lines as that of Theorem 1.2, the details are omitted. We can check easily that in this last example the eigenvalues of B are weakly resonant. From the proof of Theorem 1.2 we can subtract an example of C^∞ local diffeomorphisms with their linear parts having conjugate complex eigenvalues and having a real logarithm which has no embedding autonomous vector fields.

Finally we make a remark on the main result of [20]. According to Theorem 1.2 and its proof we should add the weakly nonresonant condition to Theorem 1.1 of [20] for ensuring the existence of embedding flows. We restate it here with the additional condition on weakly nonresonance.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that* $\mathbf{F}(x)=\mathbf{A}x+\mathbf{f}(x)$ *with* $\mathbf{f}(x)$ *a resonant vector–valued polynomial without linear part and that* $\mathbf{A}$ *is hyperbolic and has a real logarithm* $\mathbf{B}$ *with its eigenvalues weakly nonresonant. If the eigenvalues* λ *of* $\mathbf{A}$ *satisfy finite resonant conditions, then* $\mathbf{F}(x)$ *has a unique embedding vector field. Furthermore, the embedding vector field is of the form* $\mathcal{X}(x)=\mathbf{B}x+\mathbf{v}(x)$ *with* $\mathbf{v}(x)$ *a resonant polynomial vector field.*

Having the additional weakly nonresonant condition, the proof of Theorem 1.4 follows from the same line as that of Theorem 1.1 of [20]. In fact, the proof of Theorem 1.4 can also be completed by using that of Lemma 2.10.

At the end of this section we pose the following

Open problem. *What kinds of* C^∞ *non–hyperbolic local diffeomorphisms can be embedded in a* C^∞ *flow?*

We should mention that this last open problem was solved recently in [21] for smooth and analytic integrable diffeomorphisms. In non–integrable case there are no any results except those local diffeomorphisms which can be linearized by a C^∞ near identity transformation.

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we shall prove our main results. Subsection 2.1 is the preparation to the proof of the main results, which contains some necessary known results. Subsection 2.2 is the new and direct approach to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Subsections 2.3 and 2.4 are the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and of Theorem 1.3, respectively.

2 Proof of the main results

2.1 Preparation to the proof

In this subsection we present some known results, which will be used in the proof of our main results.

The first one gives the relation between the embedding vector fields of two conjugate diffeomorphisms (see e.g. [16]). Its proof is easy.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G and H be two conjugate diffeomorphisms on a manifold, i.e. there exists a diffeomorphism J such that $J \circ G = H \circ J$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is an embedding vector field of G, then $J_*\mathcal{X}$ is an embedding vector field of H, where J_* denotes the tangent map induced by the conjugation J.*

This lemma shows that in order for solving the embedding flow problem for a given diffeomorphism, one way is to find a conjugate diffeomorphism which has an embedding flow.

The next result, due to Chen [6], characterizes the equivalence between C^∞ conjugacy and formal conjugacy, which is the key point to prove our main result.

Theorem 2.2. *If two C^∞ diffeomorphisms are formally conjugate at a hyperbolic fixed point, then they are C^∞ conjugate in a neighborhood of the fixed point.*

The following one, called the Poincaré–Dulac theorem, provides the existence of the analytic normal form for an analytic diffeomorphism (see for instance [1, 15]), which will also be used in the proof of our main results.

Theorem 2.3. *Any local analytic diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, with $f(x) = o(x)$ and the eigenvalues of A belonging to the Poincaré domain, is analytically conjugate to its normal form.*

Recall that the eigenvalues of A belong to the *Poinaré domain* if their modulus are either all larger than 1 or all less than 1.

The following result presents the spectrum of a class of linear operators associated with maps (for a proof, see e.g. [20])

Lemma 2.4. *Let $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ ($\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$) be the set of square matrices of order n with its entries being in the field $\mathbb{F}$, and let $\mathcal{H}_n^r(\mathbb{F})$ be the linear space formed by n–dimensional vector–valued homogeneous polynomials of degree r in n variables with their coefficients in*

$\mathbb{F}$. *If* $A \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$ *is a lower triangular Jordan normal form matrix with the eigenvalues* $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, *then the linear operator in* $\mathcal{H}_n^r(\mathbb{F})$ *defined by*

$$\mathcal{L}h(x) = Ah(x) - h(Ax), \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}_n^r(\mathbb{F}), \tag{2.1}$$

has the spectrum

$$\left\{ \lambda_j - \prod_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i^{m_i};\, m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,\, |m| = r,\, j = 1, \ldots, n \right\},$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_+$ *denotes the set of nonnegative integers and* $|m| = m_1 + \ldots + m_n$.

The next result, due to Bibikov [5], provides the spectrum of a class of linear operators associated with the vector fields.

Lemma 2.5. *For* $B \in M_n(\mathbb{F})$, *we define a linear operator* L *in* $\mathcal{H}_n^r(\mathbb{F})$ *by*

$$Lh(x) = Dh(x)Bx - Bh(x), \qquad h \in \mathcal{H}_n^r(\mathbb{F}).$$

If $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n$ *are the eigenvalues of* B, *then the spectrum of* L *is*

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i \mu_i - \mu_j;\, m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n,\, |m| = r,\, j = 1, \ldots, n \right\}.$$

The next lemma will be used in the proof of the following Lemmas 2.8 and 2.10 (for a proof, see for instance [15, 16]).

Lemma 2.6. *For any sequence* $\{a_m \in \mathbb{R}^n;\ m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n\}$, *there exists a* C^∞ *function* $q(y)$ *such that*

$$\left. \frac{\partial^{|m|} q(y)}{\partial y_1^{m_1} \ldots \partial y_n^{m_n}} \right|_{y=0} = a_m \qquad \text{for all } m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n.$$

The last one, due to Culver [8], provides the necessary and sufficient conditions for a real square matrix to have a real logarithm (for a different proof, see example Li, Llibre and Zhang [16]).

Proposition 2.7. *A nonsingular real square matrix* A *has a real logarithm if and only if either* A *has no negative real eigenvalues, or the Jordan blocks in the Jordan normal form of* A *corresponding to the negative real eigenvalues appear pairwise, i.e. there is an even number of such blocks:* $J_1, \ldots, J_{2m}$ *with* $J_{2i-1} = J_{2i}$ *for* $i = 1, \ldots, m$.

2.2 A simple and direct Proof to Theorem 1.1

For any invertible real square matrix C of order n, the function $C^{-1}ACx + C^{-1}f(Cx)$ is analytically conjugate to $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$. By Lemma 2.1 these two diffeomorphisms either both have embedding flows with the same regularity or both have no embedding flows. So without loss of generality we assume that A is in the real normal form, i.e.

$$A = \mathrm{diag}\,(A_1, \ldots, A_k, B_1, \ldots, B_l),$$

with

$$A_r = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_r & & & \\ 1 & \lambda_r & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & \lambda_r \end{pmatrix}, r \in \{1, \ldots, k\}, \quad B_s = \begin{pmatrix} D_s & & & \\ E_2 & D_s & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & E_2 & D_s \end{pmatrix}, s \in \{1, \ldots, l\},$$

where $\lambda_r \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$D_s = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_s & \beta_s \\ -\beta_s & \alpha_s \end{pmatrix}, \quad E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Assume that m is the total number of the real eigenvalues of A taking into account their multiplicity, and that B_s is of order $2m_s$. For $s = 1, \ldots, l$, associated to the conjugate complex eigenvalues $\alpha_s \pm \sqrt{-1}\beta_s$ and the corresponding real coordinates (x_i, x_{i+1}) with $i = m + 1 + 2(m_0 + \ldots + m_{s-1}) + 2j$ for $s = 1, \ldots, l$; $j = 0, \ldots, m_s - 1$ (where $m_0 = 0$), we take $z_i = x_i + \sqrt{-1}\, x_{i+1}$ and set

$$F_i^* = F_i[x] + \sqrt{-1}\, F_{i+1}[x], \quad F_{i+1}^* = F_i[x] - \sqrt{-1}\, F_{i+1}[x],$$

where F_i is the i^{th} component of F and $[x]$ is (x) with x_j for $j \in \{m+1, \ldots, n\}$ replaced by the conjugate complex coordinates z_j and $\overline{z}_j$ through $x_j = (z_j + \overline{z}_j)/2$ and $x_{j+1} = (z_j - \overline{z}_j)/(2\sqrt{-1})$. Then $F^* = (F_1, \ldots, F_m, F_{m+1}^*, \ldots, F_n^*)$ has its linear part in the lower triangular normal form. Furthermore if F^* has an embedding autonomous differential system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i &= g_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ \dot{z}_j &= g_j, \quad j = m+1, m+3, \ldots, n-1, \\ \dot{\overline{z}}_j &= \overline{g}_j, \end{aligned}$$

then written back in the real coordinates the real autonomous system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_i &= g_i, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, m, \\ \dot{x}_j &= \mathrm{Re}\, g_j, \quad j = m+1, m+3, \ldots, n-1, \\ \dot{x}_{j+1} &= \mathrm{Im}\, g_j, \end{aligned}$$

is an embedding differential system of F. Hence in what follows we only need to prove the existence of the embedding autonomous differential system for F^*. For simplicity to notations, we still study $F(x)$ instead of F^* and assume that $F(x)$ has A in the lower triangular Jordan normal form.

In the proof of our main results, we need to use the normal forms of a given diffeomorphism. First we give some definitions. A diffeomorphism $H(x) = Ax + h(x)$ is in the *normal form* if its nonlinear term $h(x)$ contains only resonant monomials. A monomial $a_m x^m e_j$ in the j^{th} component of $h(x)$ is *resonant* if $\lambda^m = \lambda_j$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $|m| \geq 2$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ are the n–tuple of eigenvalues of the matrix A. Recall that e_j is the unit vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with its j^{th} entry being equal to one and the others vanishing. Recall that $\lambda^m = \lambda_1^{m_1} \ldots \lambda_n^{m_n}$ and $x^m = x_1^{m_1} \ldots x_n^{m_n}$ for $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ and $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

For a near identity transformation $x = y + \zeta(y)$ with $\zeta(y) = O(|y|^2)$ from $H(x)$ to its normal form $G(y)$, if $\zeta(y)$ contains only nonresonant term, then it is called *distinguished normalization* from $H(x)$ to $G(y)$. Correspondingly, $G(y)$ is called *distinguished normal form* of $H(x)$. Recall that for a given diffeomorphism, the asymptotic development of its distinguished normal form is unique. Of course, if the distinguished normal form is a polynomial or an analytic function, it is uniquely determined.

The following result characterizes the existence of C^∞ distinguished normal forms for C^∞ hyperbolic diffeomorphisms. Part of its proof is well known. In order for our paper to be self–contained, we shall provide a complete proof to it.

Lemma 2.8. *The C^∞ locally hyperbolic diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ is C^∞ conjugate to its distinguished normal form.*

Proof. By the assumption given at the beginning of this subsection that A is in the lower triangular normal form, $F(x)$ may be nonreal in the case that A has complex conjugate eigenvalues.

Let $x = y + h(y)$ be the conjugation (maybe only formally) between $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ and $G(y) = Ay + g(y)$, where $h(y), g(y) = O(|y|^2)$. Then by definition we have

$$h(Ay) - Ah(y) = f(y + h(y)) + h(Ay) - h(Ay + g(y)) - g(y). \tag{2.2}$$

Expanding f, g, h in the Taylor series, i.e. for $w \in \{f, g, h\}$ we have

$$w(x) \sim \sum_{i=2}^{\infty} w_i(x).$$

Then equation (2.2) can be written in

$$h_k(Ay) - Ah_k(y) = F_k(y) - g_k(y), \quad k = 2, 3, \ldots \tag{2.3}$$

where $F_k(y)$, $k = 2, 3, \ldots$, obtained from re–expansion of $f(y+h(y))+h(Ay)-h(Ay+g(y))$, are inductively known homogeneous polynomials of degree k. They are functions of g_j, h_j for $j = 2, \ldots, k-1$ and of f_j for $j = 2, \ldots, k$.

Define linear operators on $\mathcal{H}_n^k(\mathbb{F})$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_k h(y) = h(Ay) - Ah(y) \quad \text{for } h \in \mathcal{H}_n^k(\mathbb{F}).$$

Separate $F_k(y)-g_k(y)$ in two parts: one is formed by the nonresonant monomials written in $F_{k1}(y)-g_{k1}(y)$ and the other is formed by the resonant monomials written in $F_{k2}(y)-g_{k2}(y)$. Corresponding to the nonresonant part, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $\mathcal{L}_k$ is invertible. We choose $g_{k1}(y) = 0$. Equation $\mathcal{L}_k h_1(y) = F_{k1}(y)$ has a unique solution in $\mathcal{H}_n^k(\mathbb{F})$, denoted by $h_{k1}(y)$, which consists of nonresonant monomials. For the resonant part, we choose $g_{k2} = F_{k2}$ equation $\mathcal{L}_k h_2(y) = 0$ has always the trivial solution $h_{k2}(y) \equiv 0$. Set $h(y) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_k(y) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} h_{k1}(y)$, and $g(y) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} g_k(y) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} g_{k2}(y)$. Then $x = y + h(y)$ is the distinguished normalization (maybe formally) between $F(x)$ and $Ay + g(y)$. This proves that $F(x)$ is conjugate (maybe formally) to its distinguished normal form $G(y)$.

If $G(y) = Ay + g(y)$ is C^∞ smooth, then by Theorem 2.2 $F(x)$ and $G(y)$ are C^∞ conjugate, because $F(x)$ and $G(y)$ both have the origin as a hyperbolic fixed point.

If $G(y)$ is only a formal series, we get from Lemma 2.6 that there exists a C^∞ diffeomorphism $H(y)$ such that $jet_{k=0}^{\infty} H(y) = G(y)$, where $jet_{k=0}^{\infty} H(y)$ denotes the Taylor series of $H(y)$ at $y = 0$. Moreover $H(y)$ has the origin as a hyperbolic fixed point because H and G have the same linear part. From the above proof we get that $F(x)$ and $H(y)$ are formally conjugate. Since $F(x)$ and $H(y)$ have the origin as a hyperbolic fixed point, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $F(x)$ is C^∞ conjugate to $H(y)$. Obviously $H(y)$ is the distinguished normal form of $F(x)$, because $G(y)$ and $H(y)$ have the same Taylor series. We complete the proof of the lemma. □

From Lemmas 2.1 and 2.8, in order for proving $F(x)$ to have a C^∞ embedding flow, it is equivalent to show that its C^∞ conjugate distinguished normal form has a C^∞ embedding flow. We mention that Lemma 2.8 will also be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

For a vector field $\mathcal{X}(x) = Bx + p(x)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ with $p(x) = O(|x|^2)$, or its associated differential system $\dot{x} = Bx + p(x)$, let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ be the n–tuple of eigenvalues of the matrix B. A monomial $p_m x^m e_j$ in the j^{th} component of $p(x)$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $e_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ the unit vector having its j^{th} entry being equal to one, is

- *resonant* if m satisfies $\mu_j = \langle m, \mu \rangle$;
- *weakly resonant* if m satisfies $\mu_j - \langle m, \mu \rangle = 2l\pi\sqrt{-1}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$;

We should mention that for two real $n \times n$ matrix A and B with B the logarithm of A, if a monomial $x^m e_j$ is resonant in a diffeomorphism $Ax + f(x)$, it may be either resonant or weakly resonant in a vector field $Bx + v(x)$. For example, the matrix $A^* = \mathrm{diag}(4, -2, -2)$ has a real logarithm $B^* = \mathrm{diag}(2\ln 2, B_2)$ with $B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \ln 2 & \pi \\ -\pi & \ln 2 \end{pmatrix}$. If the monomial $x_2x_3e_1$ appears in a diffeomorphism of the form $F^*(x_1, x_2, x_3) = A^*x + f^*(x)$ and also appears in a vector field of the form $\mathcal{X}^*(x_1, x_2, x_3) = B^*x + v^*(x)$, then it is a resonant term in both cases. But the monomials $x_2^2e_1$ and $x_3^2e_1$ are resonant in F^* (if appear) and are only weakly resonant in $\mathcal{X}^*$ (if appear).

The next result characterizes the form of embedding vector fields for a diffeomorphism with its nonlinear part consisting of resonant monomials. Part of its proof follows from that of Theorem 1.1 of [20].

Lemma 2.9. *Assume that $G(y) = Ay + g(y)$ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism and is in the normal form in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$. If $G(y)$ has a C^∞ embedding autonomous vector field, then the embedding vector field consists of only resonant and weakly resonant monomials.*

Proof. It is well-known that if $\mathcal{X}(y)$ is an embedding vector field of the diffeomorphism $G(y)$ in $(\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ and $\varphi_t(y)$ is the corresponding embedding flow, then $\mathcal{X}(\varphi_t(y)) = D(\varphi_t(y))\mathcal{X}(y)$ for $y \in (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $D\psi(y)$ denotes the Jacobian matrix of a differentiable map ψ at y. This implies that

$$\mathcal{X}(G(y)) = D(G(y))\mathcal{X}(y), \tag{2.4}$$

because of $G(y) = \varphi_1(y)$ by the assumption. Equation (2.4) is called the *embedding equation* of $G(y)$.

We should say that the vector field $\mathcal{X}(y)$ satisfying the embedding equation (2.4) is not necessary an embedding vector field of $G(y)$. But the set of solutions $\mathcal{X}(y)$ of (2.4) provides the only possible candidate embedding vector fields of the diffeomorphism $G(y)$.

By the assumption of the lemma, the diffeomorphism $G(y)$ has an embedding autonomous vector field, we denote it by $\mathcal{X}(y) = By + v(y)$. Then it is necessary that $B = \log A$. Furthermore we get from equation (2.4) that $v(y)$ satisfies

$$v(Ay + g(y)) - Av(y) = Dg(y)v(y) + Dg(y)By - Bg(y). \tag{2.5}$$

Let

$$g(y) \sim \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} g_j(y), \quad Dg(y)By - Bg(y) \sim -\sum_{j=r}^{\infty} b_j(y) \quad \text{and} \quad v(y) \sim \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} v_j(y),$$

with $r \geq 2$, be the Taylor expansion of the given functions, where $g_j(y)$, $b_j(y)$ and $v_j(y)$ are the vector–valued homogeneous polynomials of degree j. Equating the homogeneous polynomials of the same degree of equation (2.5) yields that $v_j(y)$, $j = r, r+1, \ldots$, should satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}v_r(y) = Av_r(y) - v_r(Ay) = b_r(y), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\mathcal{L}v_k(y) = Av_k(y) - v_k(Ay) = -\sum_{j=r}^{k-r+1} Dg_{k+1-j}(y)v_j(y) + h_k(y) + b_k(y), \tag{2.7}$$

for $k = r+1, r+2, \ldots$, where h_k are the homogeneous polynomials of degree k in the expansions of $\sum_{r \leq j < k} v_j(Ay + g(y))$ in y, and $g_s = 0$ if $s < r$. We next prove that $v_k(y)$, $k \geq r$, must be resonant in the eigenvalues of A.

Since $A = (a_{ij})$ is in the lower triangular Jordan normal form, we assume without loss of generality that $a_{ii} = \lambda_i$ the eigenvalues of A, $a_{i,i-1} = \tau_i = 0$ or 1 where if $\tau_i = 1$ then $\lambda_{i-1} = \lambda_i$ and the other entries are equal to zero. Then we have $Ay + g(y) = (\lambda_1 y_1 + g_1(y), \lambda_2 y_2 + \tau_1 y_1 + g_2(y), \ldots, \lambda_n y_n + \tau_{n-1} y_{n-1} + g_n(y))$, where $g_i(y)$ is the i^{th} component of $g(y)$.

We claim that if $g(y)$ has only resonant terms, then so is the function $Dg(y)By - Bg(y)$. Its proof follows from Lemma 2.5 (see also Lemma 2.3 of [20]). Especially if B is diagonal in the real Jordan normal form, then $Dg(y)By - Bg(y) \equiv 0$. Its proof will be provided in the appendix.

This last claim shows that all the vector–valued homogeneous polynomials $b_j(x)$ for $j = r, r+1, \ldots$ are resonant. So it follows from Lemma 2.4 that the solution $v_r(y)$ of equation (2.6) should consist of resonant monomials with resonance in the sense of A. Otherwise, corresponding to the nonresonant monomials the operator $\mathcal{L}$ is invertible, and so they must vanish.

In what follows we shall prove by induction that the right hand side of (2.7) consists of the resonant monomials.

By induction we assume that $v_j(x)$ for $j = r, \ldots, k-1$ are resonant homogeneous polynomial solutions of degree j of equation (2.7). Since all monomials $g_i^{(q)} y^q$ in g_i are resonant by the assumption, we have $\lambda^q = \lambda_i$. If $\tau_{i-1} = 1$ then y_{i-1} satisfies the same resonant conditions as those of y_i. This shows that all the monomials in the vector–valued polynomials h_k are resonant. In the sum $\sum$ of the right hand side of (2.7) its i^{th} component is the sum of the polynomials of the form

$$\sum_{s=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_{k+1-j,i}}{\partial y_s}(y) v_{j,s}(y). \tag{2.8}$$

Since $g(y)$ contains only resonant terms, it follows from the induction that the monomials in $\partial g_{k+1-j,i}/\partial y_s$ are of the form y^q modulo the coefficient with q satisfying $\lambda^{q+e_s} = \lambda_i$, and that the monomials in $v_{j,s}$ have the power p satisfying $\lambda^p = \lambda_s$. This implies that the homogeneous polynomial (2.8) contains only resonant terms. So we have proved that every monomial in the sum on the right hand side of (2.7) is resonant, and consequently all the terms on the right hand side of (2.7) are resonant.

From Lemma 2.4 we obtain that the solution $v_k(y)$ of equation (2.7) with $k > r$ should be a resonant homogeneous polynomials of degree k. Summarizing the above proof we get that if equation (2.5) has a solution $v(y)$, it should consists of resonant polynomials, where the resonance is in the sense of the eigenvalues of A.

Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ be the n–tuple of eigenvalues of B. Then we have $\lambda_j = e^{\mu_j}$. If a monomial $y^m e_j$ is resonant in λ, then $\lambda_j = \lambda^m$. It follows that $e^{\langle m,\mu\rangle - \mu_j} = 1$. Hence we have $\langle m, \mu\rangle - \mu_j = 2l\pi\sqrt{-1}$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that the nonlinear part of the vector field $\mathcal{X}(y) = By + v(y)$ consists of resonant and weakly resonant monomials. We complete the proof of the lemma. □

Lemma 2.10. *Assume that $G(y) = Ay + g(y)$ is a C^∞ locally hyperbolic diffeomorphism with $g(y) = O(|y|^2)$ and is in the distinguished normal form in $(\mathbb{F}^n, 0)$. If A has the logarithm B with its eigenvalues weakly nonresonant, then $G(y)$ has a C^∞ embedding flow.*

Proof. Let $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$ be the n–tuple of eigenvalues of B. Since B is a logarithm of A and it is in the lower triangular Jordan normal form, if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ are the n–tuple of eigenvalues of A, then $\lambda_j = e^{\mu_j}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. If λ are resonant, i.e. there exists some $j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\lambda_j = \lambda^m$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $|m| \geq 2$, then μ are either resonant or weakly resonant. In the latter, there exists $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\mu_j - \sum_{i=1}^{n} m_i\mu_i = 2k\pi\sqrt{-1}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{X}(y)$ is an embedding vector field of $G(y)$. Taking an invertible linear change of the coordinates under which $\mathcal{X}(y)$ is transformed to a new one with its linear part in the lower triangular Jordan normal form (if necessary we may use the conjugate complex coordinates instead of the pairs of real coordinates, which correspond to the conjugate complex eigenvalues). For simplifying the notations we also use $G(y)$ and $\mathcal{X}(y)$ to denote them in the new coordinates, respectively.

Since $G(y)$ is in the distinguished normal form, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $\mathcal{X}(y)$ consists of resonant and weakly resonant monomials. By the assumption of the lemma that the eigenvalues of the matrix B is weakly nonresonant, it follows that $\mathcal{X}(y)$ consists of only resonant monomials.

Let $\varphi(t,y)$ be the flow of $\mathcal{X}(y)$. Then we have

$$\dot{\varphi}(t,y) = \mathcal{X}(\varphi(t,y)), \quad \varphi(0,y) = y. \tag{2.9}$$

Now we prove the existence of $\mathcal{X}(y)$ whose flow $\varphi(t,y)$ satisfies $\varphi(1,y) = G(y)$. Part of the ideas follows from [7] on the proof of the Takens' theorem, where they obtained the existence of a finite jet of an embedding flow for a finite jet of a diffeomorphism. Taking the Taylor expansions of $\mathcal{X}(y)$ and of $\varphi(t,y)$ as

$$\mathcal{X} \sim By + X_2(y) + X_3(y) + \dots \text{ and } \varphi(t,y) \sim \varphi_1(t,y) + \varphi_2(t,y) + \varphi_3(t,y) + \dots.$$

Then we get from equation (2.9) that

$$\dot{\varphi}_1(t,y) = B\varphi_1(t,y), \qquad \varphi_1(0,y) = y, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\dot{\varphi}_k(t,y) = B\varphi_k(t,y) + X_k(\varphi_1(t,y)) + P_k(t,y), \quad \varphi_k(0,y) = 0, \tag{2.11}$$

for $k = 2, 3, \dots$, where φ_k and X_k are unknown, $P_2 = 0$ and P_k for $k > 2$ are inductively known vector–valued homogeneous polynomials of degree k in y and it is a polynomial in $\varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_{k-1}$ and $X_2, \dots, X_{k-1}$. In fact, P_k is obtained from the expansion of $X_2(\phi) + \dots + X_{k-1}(\phi)$.

Equation (2.10) has the solution $\varphi_1(t,y) = e^{tB}y$. Its time one map is $e^B y = Ay$ by the assumption. Now we shall prove by induction that for $k = 2, 3, \dots$, equation (2.11) has a homogeneous polynomial solution of degree k in y whose time one map is $g_r(y)$, where $g_r(y)$ is the homogeneous polynomial of degree r in the Taylor expansion of $G(y)$.

Be induction we assume that for $k = 2, \dots, r-1$, system (2.11) has a homogeneous polynomial solution $\varphi_k(t,y)$ of degree k in y satisfying $\varphi_k(1,y) = g_k(y)$. For $k = r$, $P_k(t,y)$ is known, $\varphi_k(t,y)$ and $X_k(y)$ are both unknown. By the variation of constants formula, we get from (2.11) with $k = r$ that

$$\varphi_r(t,y) = e^{tB} \int_0^t e^{-sB}(X_r(e^{sB}y) + P_r(s,y))ds.$$

In order that $\varphi(t,y)$ is the embedding flow of $G(y)$, we should have $\varphi(1,y) = G(y)$. This means that $\varphi_r(1,y) = g_r(y)$, so we should have

$$\int_0^1 e^{-sB} X_r\left(e^{sB}y\right) ds = e^{-B}g_r(y) - \int_0^1 e^{-sB}P_r(s,y)ds. \tag{2.12}$$

Define $\mathcal{R}^r$ to be the subspace of $\mathcal{H}_n^r(\mathbb{F})$ which consists of the vector–valued resonant homogeneous polynomials of degree r, where resonance is in the sense of eigenvalues of B.

From Lemma 2.9 we know that if the solution of equation (2.12) exists, it should be in $\mathcal{R}^r$. For proving the existence of the solution in $\mathcal{R}^r$ of equation (2.12), we define the operator

$$\begin{aligned} T^r : \mathcal{R}^r &\longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^r \\ X_r &\longrightarrow \int_0^1 e^{-sB} X_r(e^{sB}y)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Obviously T^r is linear in $\mathcal{R}^r$. Firstly we claim that $T^r(X_r) \in \mathcal{R}^r$ for each $X_r \in \mathcal{R}^r$. Indeed, since B is in the Jordan normal form and $B = \mathrm{diag}(J_1, \ldots, J_m)$ with J_i the n_i–th lower triangular Jordan block. Set $z_j = (y_{n_{j-1}+1}, \ldots, y_{n_j})$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$, where $n_0 = 0$. Then $e^{sB}y = \left(e^{sJ_1}z_1, \ldots, e^{sJ_m}z_m\right)^T$, where T denotes the transpose of a matrix. For each z_j, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, its all components correspond to the same resonant condition. So if $X_r(x)$ is resonant as a function of x then $X_r(e^{sB}y)$ is resonant as a function of y. Moreover, using the block diagonal form of e^{-sB} we get that $T^r(X_r(y))$ consists of the resonant monomials. This proves the claim.

Secondly we claim that T^r is invertible in $\mathcal{R}^r$. For proving this claim, we need to compute the expression $T^r(y^m e_j)$ for each base element $y^m e_j$ of $\mathcal{R}^r$ with $j = 1, \ldots, n$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ and $|m| = r$. Recall that e_j is the j^{th} unit vector with its j^{th} entry being equal to 1 and the others vanishing.

Since B is in the lower triangular Jordan normal form, we assume without loss of generality that $B = S + N$ and $SN = NS$, where S is semisimple, and N is nilpotent and is in the lower triangular normal form. Furthermore we can assume that S is in the diagonal form, i.e. $S = \mathrm{diag}(\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_n)$.

For $X_r(y) = y^m e_j$ with $|m| = r$, since

$$\left(e^{sB}y\right)^m e_j = \left(e^{sS}e^{sN}y\right)^m e_j \quad \text{and} \quad e^{sN} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ * & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $*$ are the entries consisting of polynomials in s, we have

$$\left(e^{sB}y\right)^m e_j = e^{\langle m,\mu\rangle s} y^m e_j + q_r(s,y)e_j,$$

where $q_r(s,y)e_j$ is a polynomial consisting of monomials which are before $y^m e_j$ in the lexicographic ordering. Recall that a monomial y^σ is before y^α in the lexicographic ordering if there exists an l with $1 \le l \le n$ such that $\sigma_j = \alpha_j$ for $0 \le j < l$ and $\sigma_l > \alpha_l$. It follows that for $X_r(y) = y^m e_j$ with $|m| = r$

$$e^{-sB}X_r\left(e^{sB}y\right) = e^{-sB}\left(e^{sB}y\right)^m e_j = e^{(\langle m,\mu\rangle - \mu_j)s} y^m e_j + Q_r(s,y)e_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} P_k(s,y)e_k, \tag{2.14}$$

where $Q_r(s,y)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in y of degree r and is before $e^{(\langle m,\mu\rangle-\mu_j)s}y^m$, and $P_k(s,y)$ are also homogeneous polynomials in y of degree r for $k=j+1,\ldots,n$. Since $y^m e_j$ is a resonant monomial, we have $\langle m,\mu\rangle-\mu_j=0$. This shows that

$$T^r(y^m e_j)=\int_0^1 e^{-sB}(e^{sB}y)^m e_j ds = y^m e_j+\int_0^1 Q_r(s,y)ds e_j+\sum_{k=j+1}^{n}\int_0^1 P_k(s,y)ds e_k\neq 0.$$

This last expression implies that the matrix expression of T^r under the basis $\{y^m e_j;\, m\in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |m|=r, j=1,\ldots,n\}$ is a lower triangular matrix and its diagonal entries are all equal to 1. So T^r is invertible on $\mathcal{R}^r$. The claim follows.

These last two claims mean that T^r is an invertible linear operator in $\mathcal{R}^r$. Furthermore, by induction and working in a similar way to the proof of $T^r(X_r)$ belonging to $\mathcal{R}^r$ we can prove that $P_r(s,y)$ is resonant as a function of y. So the right hand side of (2.12) belongs to $\mathcal{R}^r$. This shows that equation (2.12) has a unique resonant homogeneous polynomial solution X_r in $\mathcal{R}^r$.

By induction we have proved that each equation in (2.11) for $k=2,3,\ldots$ has a unique resonant homogeneous polynomial solution X_k in $\mathcal{R}^k$. Consequently, the given C^∞ diffeomorphism $G(y)$ has a unique (maybe formal) autonomous embedding vector field $\mathcal{X}(y)=By+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}X_r(y)$.

By Lemma 2.6 there exists a C^∞ vector field $\mathcal{Y}(y)$ such that $jet^\infty_{k=1}\mathcal{Y}(y)=By+\sum_{k=2}^{\infty}X_r(y)$. Consider the C^∞ vector field $\mathcal{Y}(y)$, and denote by $\psi(y)$ its time one map of the flow associated with $\mathcal{Y}(y)$. Then we have $jet^\infty_{k=1}\psi(y)=jet^\infty_{k=1}G(y)$, and consequently they are formally conjugate. Since $\psi(y)$ and $G(y)$ are hyperbolic at $y=0$, it follows from Theorem 2.2 that $\psi(y)$ and $G(y)$ are C^∞ conjugate. Since $\mathcal{Y}(y)$ is the embedding vector field of $\psi(y)$, we get from Lemma 2.1 that $G(y)$ has a C^∞ embedding vector field. This proves the lemma. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Its proof follows from Lemmas 2.8, 2.10 and 2.1. More details, Lemma 2.8 shows that the given C^∞ hyperbolic diffeomorphism $F(x)$ is C^∞ conjugate to its distinguished normal form, denote by $G(y)$. By Lemma 2.10 the diffeomorphism $G(y)$ has a C^∞ embedding autonomous vector field. Finally it follows from Lemma 2.1 that $F(x)$ has a C^∞ embedding autonomous vector field, and consequently has a C^∞ embedding flow. We complete the proof of the theorem. ∎

We remark that in the proof of Lemma 2.10, if $\mathcal{R}^r$ consists of both resonant and weakly resonant vector–valued monomials of $\mathcal{H}_n^r(\mathbb{F})$, then the linear operator T^r defined in (2.13) is not invertible. Because for those weakly resonant monomials $y^m e_j$ we get from (2.14)

that $T^r(y^m e_j) = \int_0^1 Q_r(s,y)e_j + \sum_{k=j+1}^{n} \int_0^1 P_k(s,y)ds e_k$, where $Q_r(s,y)$ consists of homogeneous polynomials in y before y^m and $P_k(s,y)$ are also polynomials in y. This implies that the matrix expression of T^r under the basis $\{y^m e_j;\, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n, |m| = r, j = 1,\dots,n\}$ is in the lower triangular form and its diagonal entries have both 1 and 0. This explains from a new point the importance of the weakly nonresonant condition for ensuring the existence of smooth embedding flows for a smooth diffeomorphism.

2.3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

For proving the theorem we provide a class of C^∞ locally hyperbolic diffeomorphisms with their linear part having a real logarithm whose eigenvalues are weakly resonant, but they do not have a C^∞ embedding autonomous vector field.

Consider a C^∞ or an analytic real local diffeomorphism of the form

$$F(x) = Ax + f(x), \qquad x \in (\mathbb{R}^n, 0), \tag{2.15}$$

with $f(x) = o(x)$ and $A = \mathrm{diag}(A_1, A_2)$, where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} e^8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e \\ 0 & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}e \end{pmatrix},$$

and $A_2 = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_4, \dots, \lambda_n)$ with its eigenvalues $\lambda_i > 1$ for $i = 4, \dots, n$. We assume that $\lambda_4, \dots, \lambda_n$ are nonresonant, and that they are not resonant with the eigenvalues of A_1. The matrix A_2 with the prescribed property can be easily illustrated. Obviously, A_1 has the eigenvalues $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = (e^8, e^{1+\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1}}, e^{1-\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1}})$. From the choice of A_2 we know that the eigenvalues of A satisfy only the resonant relations $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2^4\lambda_3^4$, $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2^8$ and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_3^8$.

From the selection of A and Proposition 2.7, the matrix A has a real logarithm $B = \mathrm{diag}(B_1, B_2)$ with

$$B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{\pi}{4} \\ 0 & -\frac{\pi}{4} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $B_2 = \mathrm{diag}(\ln\lambda_3, \dots, \ln\lambda_n)$. Moreover the resonant and weakly resonant relations associated with the eigenvalues of B are only

$$\mu_1 = 4\mu_2 + 4\mu_3, \qquad \mu_1 - 8\mu_2 = -2\pi\sqrt{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_1 - 8\mu_3 = 2\pi\sqrt{-1},$$

where $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3) = (8, 1 + \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1}, 1 - \frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1})$ are the eigenvalues of B_1.

We can check easily that the eigenvalues of A belong to the Poincaré domain and that $F(x)$ is locally hyperbolic. Instead of x_2, x_3 we use the conjugate complex coordinates, and also denote them by x_2, x_3. Then by Lemma 2.8 (resp. Theorem 2.3) we get that if the diffeomorphism $F(x)$ is C^∞ (resp. analytic), it is C^∞ (resp. analytically) conjugate to the local diffeomorphism of the form

$$G(x) = \left(e^8 x_1 + a x_2^4 x_3^4 + b x_2^8 + d x_3^8,\ e^{1+\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1}} x_2,\ e^{1-\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1}} x_3,\ \lambda_4 x_4,\ \ldots,\ \lambda_n x_n\right),$$

with $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\overline{b} = d \in \mathbb{C}$, where $\overline{b} = d$ follows form the fact that written in real coordinates the first component of $G(x)$ should be real. Hence by Lemma 2.1, $F(x)$ has a C^∞ (resp. analytic) embedding autonomous vector field if and only if $G(x)$ has a C^∞ (resp. analytic) embedding autonomous vector field. So we turn to study the existence of embedding autonomous vector field for the local diffeomorphism $G(x)$.

Since $G(x)$ contains only resonant nonlinear terms, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that if the embedding autonomous vector field of $G(x)$ exists, it should be of the form

$$\mathcal{X}(x) = \left(8x_1, (1+\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1})x_2, (1-\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1})x_3, \ln\lambda_4 x_4, \ldots, \ln\lambda_n x_n\right) + g(x),$$

with $g(x)$ consisting of resonant and weakly resonant monomials. So the candidate $g(x)$ is only possible of the form

$$g(x) = \left(A x_2^4 x_3^4 + B x_2^8 + D x_3^8, 0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0\right).$$

Some easy computations show that the flow of $\mathcal{X}(x)$ is

$$\phi_t(x) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{8t}\left[x_1 + A x_2^4 x_3^4 t + \frac{B}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\left(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}t} - 1\right)x_2^8 - \frac{D}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\left(e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}t} - 1\right)x_3^8\right] \\ e^{(1+\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1})t} x_2 \\ e^{(1-\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1})t} x_3 \\ \lambda_4^t x_4 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_n^t x_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, the time one map of ϕ_t is $\left(e^8(x_1 + A x_2^4 x_3^4), e^{(1+\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1})} x_2, e^{(1-\frac{\pi}{4}\sqrt{-1})} x_3, \lambda_4 x_4, \ldots, \lambda_n x_n\right)$. So, if $\overline{b} = d \neq 0$, the diffeomorphism $G(x)$ cannot have a C^∞ or an analytic embedding autonomous vector field. Consequently $F(x)$ cannot have a C^∞ or an analytic embedding autonomous vector field. This proves the theorem.

2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

(a) Necessity. By the assumption $F(x)$ has an embedding autonomous vector field, denoted by $\mathcal{X}(x) = Bx + v(x)$ with $v(x)$ the higher order terms. Then B is the real logarithm of

A. It follows from Proposition 2.7 that either A has no negative eigenvalues or the Jordan blocks corresponding to the negative eigenvalues of A appear pairwise. Since we are in the two dimensional space, the later case means that the Jordan normal form should be of the form $\mathrm{diag}(-\lambda, -\lambda)$ with $\lambda > 0$. This proves the necessary part.

Sufficiency. If A has no negative eigenvalues, then A has the Jordan normal form of the type either

$$J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 1 & \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$ and $\alpha\beta \neq 0$. They have respectively the real logarithms

$$\ln J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \ln \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \ln \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \ln J_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \ln \lambda_1 & 0 \\ \lambda_1^{-1} & \ln \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\ln J_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\ln(\alpha^2+\beta^2) & \arccos \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2}} \\ -\arccos \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2}} & \frac{1}{2}\ln(\alpha^2+\beta^2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

In any one of the above three cases the eigenvalues are not possible weakly resonant.

If A has negative eigenvalues, by the assumption the Jordan normal form of A can only be of the form $J_4 = \mathrm{diag}(-\lambda, -\lambda)$ with $\lambda > 0$. It is easy to check that J_4 has the real logarithm

$$\ln J_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \ln \lambda & \pi \\ -\pi & \ln \lambda \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, its eigenvalues are not weakly resonant.

The above proof shows that under the assumption of the sufficiency the matrix A has a real logarithm with its eigenvalues weakly nonresonant. So it follows from Theorem 1.1 that the diffeomorphism $F(x)$ has a local C^∞ embedding flow. This proves the statement.

(b) For the diffeomorphism $F(x) = Ax + f(x)$ with A having only positive eigenvalues, it follows from Proposition 2.7 that A has a real logarithm. We claim that the real logarithm can be chosen such that its eigenvalues are weakly nonresonant. Then statement (b) follows from Theorem 1.1.

We now prove the claim. Let J be the Jordan normal form of A and T be the nonsingular real matrix such that $A = TJT^{-1}$. By the assumption of the theorem we can assume that

$$J = \mathrm{diag}(C_1, \ldots, C_p),$$

with

$$C_i = \gamma_i E_{n_i} + N_{n_i}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $n_1 + \ldots + n_p = n$ and E_{n_i} is the n_i–th unit matrix, and

$$N_{n_i} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly, C_i has the n_i–tuple of eigenvalues $(\gamma_i, \ldots, \gamma_i)$ with $\gamma_i > 0$ by the assumption.

We know that C_i has the real logarithm

$$\ln C_i = (\ln \gamma_i) E_{n_i} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{1}{k} \left(\gamma_i^{-1} N_{n_i}\right)^k,$$

with the n_i–tuple of real eigenvalues $(\ln \gamma_i, \ldots, \ln \gamma_i)$. Set $B = T(\ln C_1, \ldots, \ln C_p)T^{-1}$. Then $A = e^B$, i.e. B is the real logarithm of A.

Since the eigenvalues of B are all real, it is not possible weakly resonant. This proves the claim, and consequently the statement (b). We complete the proof of the theorem.

3 Appendix

Lemma 3.11. *Let A be a real square matrix of order n. Assume that A has a real logarithm B. If B is diagonal in the real Jordan normal form and the n dimensional vector function $g(y)$ contains only resonant terms in the sense of diffeomorphism, then $Dg(y)By - Bg(y) \equiv 0$.*

Proof. In the real Jordan normal form we have $A = \mathrm{diag}(A_1, \ldots, A_r, B_1, \ldots, B_s, C_1, \ldots, C_k)$ with

$$A_m = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_m & & & \\ 1 & \lambda_m & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & \lambda_m \end{pmatrix}, \ \lambda_m > 0; \quad B_m = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_m & & & \\ 1 & \mu_m & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & 1 & \mu_m \end{pmatrix}, \ \mu_m < 0,$$

$$C_m = \begin{pmatrix} D_m & & & \\ E_2 & D_m & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & E_2 & D_m \end{pmatrix}, D_m = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_m & \beta_m \\ -\beta_m & \alpha_m \end{pmatrix}, E_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $B = \ln A = \operatorname{diag}(\ln A_1, \ldots, \ln A_r, \ln B_1, \ldots, \ln B_s, \ln C_1, \ldots, \ln C_k)$. By the assumption that A has a real logarithm, B_m should appear pairwise. Let $M_m = \operatorname{diag}(B_m, B_m)$, then M_m is similar to $\Lambda + Z$ with $\Lambda = \operatorname{diag}(\mu_m E_2, \ldots, \mu_m E_2)$ and

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & & & \\ E_2 & \mathbf{0} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & E_2 & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We know that

$$\ln(\mu_m E_n) = \begin{pmatrix} \ln|\mu_m| & (2k+1)\pi \\ -(2k+1)\pi & \ln|\mu_m| \end{pmatrix}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

In addition,

$$\ln D_m = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\ln(\alpha_m^2+\beta_m^2) & \arccos\frac{\alpha_m}{\sqrt{\alpha_m^2+\beta_m^2}} + 2l\pi \\ -\arccos\frac{\alpha_m}{\sqrt{\alpha_m^2+\beta_m^2}} - 2l\pi & \frac{1}{2}\ln(\alpha_m^2+\beta_m^2) \end{pmatrix}, \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

So in order that $B = \ln A$ is diagonal in the real Jordan normal form, the necessary condition is that the blocks B_m for $m \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$ and C_m for $m \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ do not appear in A. These show that A is similar to $\operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$ and and B is similar to $\operatorname{diag}(\ln\lambda_1, \ldots, \ln\lambda_n)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $B = \operatorname{diag}(\ln\lambda_1, \ldots, \ln\lambda_n)$.

Set $\mu_s = \ln\lambda_s$ for $s = 1, \ldots, n$. Now the s^{th} component of $Dg(y)By - Bg(y)$ is $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial y_j}\mu_j y_j - \mu_s g_s$, where g_s is the s^{th} component of g. Since g_s is resonant, for illustration choosing one of monomials of g_s, saying $y^m = y_1^{m_1} \ldots y_n^{m_n}$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial y^m}{\partial y_j}\mu_j y_j - \mu_s y^m = (\mu_1 m_1 + \ldots + \mu_n m_n - \mu_s) y^m = \left(\ln\frac{\lambda^m}{\lambda_s}\right) y^m = 0,$$

because y^m is resonant and so $\lambda^m = \lambda_s$. This proves that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial g_s}{\partial y_j}\mu_j y_j - \mu_s g_s = 0$, and consequently $Dg(y)By - Bg(y) = 0$. The lemma follows. □

Acknowledgements. The author sincerely appreciates the referee for his/her careful reading and the excellent comments and suggestions, which help me to improve this paper both in the mathematics and in the expressions. Also the referee pointed out the existence of the reference [8], which I did not know previously.

References

[1] D. V. Anosov and V. I. Arnold, *Dynamical Systems I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.

[2] V. I. Arnold, *Geometric Methods in Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, 2nd Ed., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988.

[3] G. R. Belitskii and V. Tkachenko, *One-Dimensional Functional Equations*, Birkhäuser, Berlin, 2003.

[4] W. A. Beyer and P. J. Channell, A functional equation for the embedding of a homeomorphim of the interval into a flow, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. **1163**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985, 1–13.

[5] Yu. N. Bibikov, Local Theory of Nonlinear Analytic Ordinary Differential Equations, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. **702**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979.

[6] K. T. Chen, Equivalence and decomposition of vector fields about an elementary critical point, *Amer. J. Math.* **85** (1963), 693–772.

[7] S. N. Chow, Chengzhi Li and Duo Wang, *Normal Forms and Bifurcation of Planar Vector Fields*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994.

[8] W. J. Culver, On the existence and uniqueness of the real logarithm of a matrix, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **17** (1966), 1146–1151.

[9] A. Enciso and D. Peralta–Salas, Existence and vanishing set of inverse integrating factors for analytic vector fields, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **41** (2009), 1112–1124.

[10] I. A. García, H. Giacomini and M. Grau, The inverse integrating factor and the Poincaré map, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **362** (2010), 3591–1612.

[11] I. A. García and S. Maza, A new approach to center conditions for simple analytic monodromic singularities, *J. Differential Equations* **248** (2010), 363–380.

[12] S. Kuksin and J. Pöschel, On the inclusion of analytic symplectic maps in analytic Hamiltonian flows and its applications, *Seminar on Dynamical Systems*, S. Kuksin, V. Lazutkin and J. Pöschel (Eds), Birkhäuser, Basel, 1978, 96–116.

[13] P. F. Lam, Embedding a differential homeomorphim in a flow, *J. Differential Equations* **30** (1978), 31–40.

[14] P. F. Lam, Embedding homeomorphims in C^1-flows, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* **123** (1980), 11–25.

[15] Weigu Li, *Normal Form Theory and its Applications* (in Chinese), Science Press, Beijing, 2000.

[16] Weigu Li, J. Llibre and Xiang Zhang, Extension of floquet's theory to nonlinear periodic differential systems and embedding diffeomorphisms in differential flows, *American J. Math.* **124** (2002), 107–127.

[17] J. Palis, Vector fields generate few diffeomorphisms, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **80** (1974), 503–505.

[18] Xiang Zhang, Analytic normalization of analytic integrable systems and the embedding flows, *J. Differential Equations* **244** (2008), 1080–1092.

[19] Xiang Zhang, Embedding diffeomorphisms in flows in Banach spaces, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **29** (2009), 1349–1367.

[20] Xiang Zhang, Embedding smooth diffeomorphisms in flows, *J. Differential Equations* **248** (2010), 1603–1616.

[21] Xiang Zhang, Analytic integrable systems: analytic normalization and embedding flows, preprint.